

**Sample questions for midterm 2**  
**CS 421, Spring 2009**

1. Recall that in lectures 11 and 12 we gave several translation schemes for expressions and statements:  $[e]$  produces a pair containing the code for  $e$  and the location of the result;  $[S]$  gives the code for  $S$ ;  $[e]_x$  gives the code to evaluate  $e$  and put its value in  $x$ ;  $[e]_{L,Lf}$  translates a Boolean expression  $e$  to code that branches to either  $L$  or  $Lf$  (this is called the "short-circuit evaluation scheme"), and  $[S]_L$  translates  $S$  in a context in which  $L$  is the label for a break statement.

a. Generate code for the repeat-until statement: "repeat  $S$  until  $e$ " executes  $S$  and tests  $e$ , and repeats until  $e$  becomes true. Thus, it is equivalent to " $S$ ; while  $!e$  do  $S$ ". Do this in two ways: (i) Using the regular scheme  $[e]$  to evaluate the condition; and (ii) Using the short-circuit evaluation scheme for  $e$ .

b. Generate code for a multiple assignment statement:  $(x1, x2) = (e1, e2)$ , which does both assignments "in parallel." Note that this is not that same as doing one assignment followed by the other, because variables  $x1$  and  $x2$  may appear in expressions  $e1$  and  $e2$ . Use evaluation scheme  $[e]_x$  where appropriate.

c. Give two schemes for conditional expression  $e1 ? e2 : e3$ , which gives the value of  $e2$  if  $e1$  is true, or  $e3$  if  $e1$  is false. The two schemes you should provide are (a) the standard  $[e1 ? e2 : e3]$ , and (b) the assignment scheme  $[e1 ? e2 : e3]_x$ . You should use the short-circuit evaluation scheme for  $e1$ .

2. (a) Name the two parts of a compiler's front end.

(b) Name the ~~two~~<sup>three</sup> parts of a compiler's back end.

1. AST  $\rightarrow$  IR
2. Optimization
3. Code generation

(c) What are the two outputs of the front end?

3. Name the items in an activation record. = *stack frame*

4. Give two advantages of the copying garbage collection algorithm over the non-copying (mark-and-sweep) algorithm.

- Compacts - reduces fragmentation, make it easier to allocate large chunks, improves memory perf.
- Touches only reachable data - faster

5. Give two advantages of the non-copying (mark-and-sweep) garbage collection algorithm over the copying algorithm.

- Less memory overhead
- Compacting may be impossible
- Better if there are large objects.

6. Reference counting is not a popular algorithm. What drawback of this algorithm is the reason?

- Cycles in memory

7. In APL, define multmat  $n$  which gives an  $n \times n$  matrix where position  $i,j$  has the value  $i*j$ .

```
multmat 4;;
1 2 3 4
```

# Translation schemes -

$[e]$  : instruction to calculate  $e$ ,  
plus location of result

$[S]$  : code for statement  $S$

$[e]_x$  : code to calculate  $e$  and  
put value in  $x$

$[S]_L$  : code for  $S$  in a while or  
switch stmt where  $L$  is label  
just after the enclosing stmt

$[e]_{Lt, Lf}$  : "short-circuit eval" for boolean exprs.  
Code to jump to  $Lt$  or  $Lf$ , depending  
on value of  $e$ .

[repeat S until e]

= let L1, L2 = newlabel()  
let (il, loc) = [e]

in

C: while (e) S  
C: do S while (e)

L1: [S]

il

(JUMP loc, L2, L1)

L2:

$[repeat\ S\ until\ e]_L$  (using short-circuit eval)

= let  $L1, L2 = newlabel()$   
~~let  $(\hat{it}, loc) = [e]$~~

in

$L1: [S]_{L2}$

$[e]_{L2, L1}$

$L2:$

[e1 ? e2 : e3]

= let L1, L2, L3 = newlabelz( )  
(i2, x2) = [e2] (i3, x3) = [e3]  
x = newlocation( )

( [e1]<sub>L1, L2</sub>  
L1: i2  
x = x2  
JUMP L3 , x  
L2: i3  
x = x3  
L3: )

$[e1 ? e2 : e3]_x$

=  $\underset{\text{in}}{\text{let}} \ L1, L2, L3 = \text{newlabel}_x()$

$[e1]_{L1, L2}$

$L1: [e2]_x$

JUMP L3

$L2: [e3]_x$

$L3:$

2 4 6 8  
 3 6 9 12  
 4 8 12 16

8. Define the following OCaml functions. [Exam: we will provide definitions of *fold\_right* and *fold\_left*.]:

(a) *repeat\_until*: ('a -> bool) -> ('a -> 'a) -> 'a -> 'a. where *repeat\_until* p f x = x, if p x, or f x if p (f x), or f (f x) if p (f (f x)), etc.

(b) *sift*: ('a -> bool) -> 'a list -> 'a list \* 'a list. *sift* p lis splits lis into a pair of lists (lis1, lis2), with lis1 containing those elements of lis that satisfy p and lis2 the others.

(c) Write *sift* using *fold\_right*. Specifically, define *sift\_base* and *sift\_rec* so that  
`fold_right (sift_rec p) lis sift_base = sift p lis`

(d) Write an OCaml function that reverses a list, using *fold\_right* instead of explicit recursion.

(e) Write a function *f* such that `map f lis` returns a list that contains the absolute values of the elements in *lis*, in the same order. Do not use any library functions in the definition of *f*.

(f) Using *fold\_right* and no explicit recursion, define a function that concatenates the elements of a string list.

(g) *compose\_all* [f1;f2;...] a = f1 (f2 (... (fn a)...)). Define *compose\_all* and say what its type is.

(h) *graph\_fun* f [x1; x2; ...; xn] = [(x1, f x1); (x2, f x2); ...]. Define *graph\_fun* and say what its type is.  
`graph_fun: (α -> β) -> α list -> (α * β) list`, where

9. What does this OCaml program evaluate to:

$\rightarrow$  `let x = 4`  
`let y = 6`  
`let f z = x + z`  
 $\rightarrow$  `let x = 8`  
`in f(y+x)`  
 $= f(14) = 18$

Handwritten notes: A red arrow points to the first line. A red bracket groups the first two lines. A red arrow points to the third line. A red arrow points to the final result '18'.

10. Suppose the following Java interface is defined:

```
interface FunObj {
    int apply (int) ;
}
```

A Java class might define a function like this:

```
void map (FunObj f, int[] a) {
```

compose-all  $[f_1; f_2; \dots; f_n] a = f_1 (f_2 (\dots (f_n a) \dots))$

compose-all:  $(\underline{\alpha} \rightarrow \underline{\alpha}) \text{ list} \rightarrow \underline{\alpha} \rightarrow \underline{\alpha}$

let rec

compose-all fl a =  
match fl with

$[\ ] \rightarrow a$

$| f :: fl' \rightarrow f (\underbrace{\text{compose-all } fl' a})$



compose-all  $[f_1; f_2; \dots; f_n]$   $a = f_1(f_2(\dots(f_n a)\dots))$

compose-all:  $(\alpha \rightarrow \alpha)$  list  $\rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$

fold-right  $f [x_1; \dots; x_n] a = f x_1 (f x_2 (\dots f x_n a)\dots)$

compose-all  $f_1 a =$   
fold-right  $(\text{fun } f \ r \rightarrow f \ r)$   $f_1 a$

compose-all  $[incr; decr]$  0

$\rightarrow$   $\text{app } incr \ (\text{app } decr \ 0) \rightarrow decr \ 0 = -1$

$(\text{fun } fr \rightarrow f) incr -1 = incr -1 = 0$

```
void map (FunObj f, int[] a) {
```

```
    for (int i=0; i<a.length; i++)
        a[i] = f.apply(a[i]);
}
```

a. Define classes `decreobj` and `sqrobj` that implement `FunObj` so that `map (new decreobj(), a)` decrements each element of `a`, and `map (new sqrobj(), a, n)` squares each element of `a`.

b. Define a class `compose` that implements `FunObj`:

```
class compose implements FunObj {
    FunObj f, g;
    compose (FunObj f, FunObj g) {
        this.f = f; this.g = g;
    }

    int apply (int i) {
        return f.apply (g.apply (i));
    }
}
```

that composes function objects, so that, for example, `map (new compose (new sqrobj(), new decreobj()), a, n)` changes every element `a[i]` to `(a[i]-1)2`.

```
class decreobj implements FunObj {
    int apply (int n) {
        return n-1;
    }
}
```

O'Camel: let  $compose\ f\ g = \text{fun } n \rightarrow f(g\ n)$   
 $compose\ (\text{fun } x \rightarrow x+1)\ (\text{fun } x \rightarrow x*x)$   
 $= \text{fun } n \rightarrow (\text{fun } x \rightarrow x+1)\ ((\text{fun } x \rightarrow x*x)\ n)$

